

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/037,913	MEYERSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ajay M. Bhatia	2145

All Participants:

Status of Application: After Final

(1) Ajay M. Bhatia.

(3) _____

(2) TIMOTHY P. O'HAGAN (39,319).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 March 2006

Time: 10am

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


Examiner inquired about the status of the case, applicant's representative informed examiner that applicant has elected to abandon the case

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



JASON CARDONE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)